

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
ununy liento gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/705,221	10/705,221 11/12/2003		Michael Landis	071469-0305782	071469-0305782 3534	
909	7590	02/09/2005		EXAMINER		
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP				VO, TUYET THI		
P.O. BOX 1	10500					
MCLEAN, VA 22102				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2821			
			DATE MAILED: 02/00/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/705,221	LANDIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tuyet Vo	2821				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 November 2003.      This action is FINAL. 2b)    This action is non-final.      Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,7-10,15 and 16 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 2-6 and 11-14 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examina  10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 November 2003 is/s  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ object a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/705,221

Art Unit: 2821

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the centering ring to be coupled to a substrate holder and to a focus ring must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Specification

The specification has been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. However, the applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,221 Page 3

Art Unit: 2821

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. For best understood, claims 1, 7-10, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ludviksson et al. (US Pub. 2004/0125360), hereinafter Ludviksson.

Like claim invention, Ludviksson discloses a focus ring assembly (Fig. 1) for surrounding a substrate (35) on a substrate holder (30) in a plasma processing system comprising a focus ring (60) comprising an upper surface, a lower surface, and one or more wear indicators (28) coupled to at least one of said upper surface and said lower surface (Figs. 2A-2D), wherein said focus ring is configured to be centered about said substrate, wherein the focus ring is made from at least one of silicon, quartz, etc. ([0051]-[0053]) and wear indicators (28) comprise a hole with different/various shapes/sizes/depth in upper, lower surface or at a fraction distance between the upper and lower surface ([0059]).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 2-6 and 11-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be considered if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims with submitted drawings supporting/corresponding to those claims' features
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior fails to establish a centering ring constructed in a specific manner that correlates and

Art Unit: 2821

supports the focus ring and the substrate holder in order to centralize the focus ring in reliable manner.

### Citation of pertinent prior art

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure.

Nishimoto et al. (US Pat. 6,837,966) discloses method and apparatus for an improved baffle plate in a plasma processing system.

Tamura et al. (US Pat. 6,815,352) discloses silicon focus ring and method for producing the same.

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuyet Vo whose telephone number is 571 272 1830. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on 571 272 1834. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9306 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0956.

Tuvet Vo

**Primary Examiner** 

February 06, 2005